ADAM SULLIVAN, P.E. *Acting State Engineer*



DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES

901 South Stewart Street, Suite 2002 Carson City, Nevada 89701-5250 (775) 684-2800 • Fax (775) 684-2811 http://water.nv.gov

TO: Chief Justice James Hardesty

Nevada Supreme Court

FROM: Micheline N. Fairbank, Esq.

Deputy Administrator

DATE: January 28, 2021

RE: Summary of Water Courts in the western United States

Per your request, the Division of Water Resources has conducted preliminary research relating to water courts throughout the western United States and how various states resolve disputes over the administration of water. Each of the identified states apply either the prior appropriation doctrine or some adaptation of the prior appropriation doctrine in the management of the respective state's water resources.

State	Judicial Review Procedures & Process
Alaska	Alaska has not established a specialized water court. Judicial review of challenges to decisions by the Alaska Department of Natural Resources are performed by the state Superior Courts.
Arizona	Arizona has not established a specialized water court. Judicial review of challenges to decisions by the Arizona Department of Water Resources and adjudications of water rights are performed by the state Superior Courts.
California	California has not established a specialized water court. Judicial review of challenges to decisions of the Water Resources Control Board and adjudication of water rights in California are performed by the state Superior Courts.

Colorado

"The Water Right Determination and Administration Act of 1969 (the "1969 Act") created seven water divisions based upon the drainage patterns of various rivers in Colorado. Each water division is staffed with a division engineer appointed by the state engineer, a water judge appointed by the Supreme Court, a water referee appointed by the water judge, and a water clerk assigned by the district court.

Water judges are district judges appointed by the Supreme Court and have jurisdiction in the determination of water rights, the use and administration of water, and all other water matters within the water division.

Water matters are generally commenced in a water court by the filing of an application with the water clerk. The water clerk publishes a summary of each application that is filed in the monthly water court "resume" and in a legal notice in one or more newspapers. Interested persons may then file statements of opposition to an application within the time allowed by statute. Because claims in water rights adjudications may affect, in priority or otherwise, any water right claimed or previously adjudicated within each division, owners of affected rights must appear to object and protest as provided in the 1969 Act or be barred from claiming injury to their water rights as a result of claims made in an application. The monthly resume published by each water court can be viewed on that court's website.

All water courts operate under a standard case definition approved by the Supreme Court in 1981. This made possible the establishment of water court filings standards, which have been reported annually by water division since July 1, 1981."

Source: Colorado Judicial Branch website, https://www.courts.state.co.us/Courts/Water/Index.cfm

Idaho

With the initiating of Idaho's Snake River Basin Adjudication in 1987, a specialty district court was established to preside over the more than 150,000 claims which included approximately two-thirds of Idaho's irrigated agricultural lands as well as thousands of reserved water right claims by tribal nations and the federal government. With the conclusion of the Snake River Basin Adjudication in 2014, the water court continues to hear water

Page **3** of **6**Summary of Water Courts in the western United States
January 28, 2021

	related appeals from the State Engineer and Water Board and is addressing smaller adjudications throughout Idaho.
	Source: John E. Thorson, A Permanent Water Court Proposal for a Post-general Stream Adjudication World, 52 Idaho L. Rev. 17 (2016), accessible at https://www.uidaho.edu/-/media/UIdaho-Responsive/Files/law/law-review/articles/volume-52/52-1-thorson-john-e.pdf?la=en&hash=5D10FECDFF62BAB0B14A0856FAC47549DDF8FB3B .
	The Adjudication Court is comprised of a presiding judge and two special masters. While the court was established for a limited basis, the court is seemingly ongoing. Additionally, there does not appear to be any formal legislative or other act that has established the court as a permeant, rather than temporary, court.
Kansas	Kansas has not established a specialized water court. Judicial review of challenges to decisions by the Kansas Division of Water resources are performed by the state District Courts.
Montana	The 1979 Legislature created the Montana Water Court to expedite and facilitate the statewide adjudication of over 219,000 state law-based water rights and Indian and Federal reserved water rights claims. The Water Court has exclusive jurisdiction over the adjudication of water rights claims.
	The Chief Justice of the Montana Supreme Court appoints a Chief Water Judge and Associate Water Judge from a list of nominees submitted by the Judicial Nomination Commission. A division water judge is also designated for each of Montana's four major water divisions. The Chief Water Judge appoints Special Masters, referred to as Water Masters, to assist the water judges. <i>Source</i> : https://courts.mt.gov/courts/water
	In 2017, the Montana Legislature passed Senate Bill 28 (SB 28) that expanded the jurisdiction of the Montana Water Court to allow persons aggrieved by a Department of Natural Resources and Conservation's decision relating to new water right permits and changes to existing water right permits. SB 28 now allows for a litigant to choose between either bringing their dispute before the district court (the proper venue prior to the adoption of SB 28) or

Page **4** of **6**Summary of Water Courts in the western United States
January 28, 2021

	the Water Court, which was historically limited to addressing the statewide adjudication.
Nebraska	Nebraska has not established a specialized water court Judicial review of challenges to decisions by the Nebraska Department of Natural Resources are performed by the state District Courts.
New Mexico	New Mexico has not established a specific or specialized state water court. Several years ago, the New Mexico Supreme Court initiated a study committee to review how adjudications were being conducted in other states. As a result of that process, the New Mexico Supreme Court, through court rule, established a single judge to handle state adjudications. It appears that through that study committee, it was also recommended that each of the state district courts appoint a sitting judge to serve as a "water judge" to handle all administrative appeals from the State Engineer. These judges have their standard court docket in addition to serving as the district's water judge. Each of the water judges in New Mexico are required to participate in annual training specific to their water dockets. Unfortunately, the New Mexico court rules relating to the creation of the adjudication judge and water judge positions is not available online; however, the Division is working to obtain copies of the relevant order(s).
North Dakota	North Dakota has not established a specialized water court. Judicial review of challenges to decisions by the North Dakota State Water Commission are performed by the state District Courts.
Oklahoma	Oklahoma has not established a specialized water court. Judicial review of challenges to decisions by the Oklahoma Water Resources Board are performed by the state District Courts.
Oregon	Oregon has not established a specialized water court. Judicial review of challenges to decisions by the Oregon Water Resources Department are performed by the County Circuit Courts.
South Dakota	South Dakota has not established a specialized water court. Judicial review of challenges to decisions by the South Dakota Department of Environment and Natural Resources are performed by the state Circuit Courts.

Page **5** of **6**Summary of Water Courts in the western United States
January 28, 2021

Texas	Texas has not established a specialized water court. Judicial review of challenges to decisions by Texas Groundwater Conservation Districts or the Texas Water Development Board are performed by the state District Courts.
Utah	Utah has not established a specialized water court. Judicial review of challenges to decisions by the Utah Division of Water Rights are performed by the state District Courts.
Washington	There have been various efforts in Washington to establish a water court. However, this effort has been focused on the creation of a specialty water court for the purpose of performing the many adjudications of water rights within Washington.
	Currently, Washington Superior Courts hear disputes relating to water rights, decisions from the Washington Department of Ecology relating to the administration of water rights, and adjudications. However, in 2002 a multi-branch Water Disputes Task Force was created and later recommended the creation of a separate, specialized statewide water court to handle more water right adjudications. This resulted in the creation of a substantial amount of legislation, though it does not appear any of those legislative proposals were successful. Then in 2004 the Board for Judicial Administration (BJA) worked to develop a judicial policy statement regarding water courts and made certain proposals for advancing adjudications in a timelier manner. This included the creation of a Water Court Work Group to develop a report that included background information as well as recommendations for a judicial response to certain proposals.
	"The report included background information on: Washington's water laws; general adjudication processes; the differences between general adjudications and other cases heard in superior court; and, the need for specialized expertise in judges, commissioners, clerks, and other court personnel hearing and processing these cases. The report recommended a set of criteria for evaluating proposals for reforming the general adjudication process and posited advantages and disadvantages for several different proposals. The report recommended that, if the other branches of government decide to increase the pace for adjudicating water right claims around the state, a specialized water court should be created to hear the increased number of general adjudications. The report also made

Page 6 of 6 Summary of Water Courts in the western United States January 28, 2021

several recommendations for how such a court might be implemented. The report also set forth recommendations for changing general adjudication procedures, including a recommendation about affidavits of prejudice. Several appendices to the report present additional background information on water law and general adjudications." Upon review of the report, the BJA adopted a judicial policy statement making certain recommendations regarding the adjudications of water right cases, including certain elements relating to judicial terms of office and other criteria. Source: Washington Courts, https://www.courts.wa.gov/committee/?fa=committee.display&item id=425&committee_id=109. It does not appear that any of these proposals were adopted modifying the judicial process for adjudicating water rights in Washington. Wyoming has not established a specialized water court. Judicial review of challenges to decisions by the Wyoming State Engineer's

Wyoming

Office are performed by the state District Courts.