

2019 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENTS IN NEVADA REVISED STATUTES

NRS and Assessment	Distribution of Assessment	Date Adopted and By Whom (if known)	Substantive Changes Since Adoption
<p>176.059</p> <p>\$30 to \$120 (depending on fine)</p>	<p>\$2 for county’s juvenile court to improve operations or acquire technology</p> <p>\$7 for use by the municipal or justice court (depending on jurisdiction)</p> <p>Remainder for State General Fund, of which, 51 percent to the Office of Court Administrator (Administrative Office of the Courts [AOC]):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 46.75 percent for administration of the courts; • 37.75 percent for the Supreme Court; • 3.5 percent for services of retired justices and district judges; and • 12 percent for specialty courts. <p><i>Charged in misdemeanor cases</i></p>	<p>1983—Requested by Assembly Judiciary:</p> <p>\$6 to State General Fund, of which:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$5 to AOC: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ \$2 court administration; ○ \$2 uniform system of judicial records; and ○ \$1 judicial education. • \$1 to Peace Officers’ Standards and Training (POST) Commission <p>\$1 to county for juvenile court</p> <p>\$3 to municipal or justice courts</p> <p>2019—<u>Expires by limitation on June 30, 2021, then the following is in effect:</u></p> <p>51 percent to the AOC:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 36.5 percent for administration of the courts; • 48 percent for the Supreme Court; • 3.5 percent for services of retired justices and district judges; and • 12 percent specialty court. <p>49 percent subject to legislative authorization to support the:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Central Repository for Nevada Records of Criminal History; • POST Commission; • NHP for a computerized switching system; • Fund for the Compensation of Victims of Crime; and • Advisory Council for Prosecuting Attorneys. <p><i>Charged in misdemeanor cases</i></p>	<p>1985—Requested by Assembly Judiciary. Changed distribution of funds; raised from \$6 to \$7 the amount to State General Fund (changed distribution and included \$3 for Supreme Court); and lowered the amount from \$3 to \$2 for municipal and justice courts.</p> <p>1987—Requested by Assembly Ways and Means. Changed from a flat \$10 to a schedule ranging from \$10 to \$100 based on fine. Also changed distribution of money.</p> <p>1989—Requested by Assembly Ways and Means. Changed distribution of funds.</p> <p>1991—Requested by Senate Finance. Addressed uncollectible fines and payment in installments. Also changed distribution of funds from the State General Fund by making them percentages, not fixed dollar amounts.</p> <p>1993—Requested by Assembly Judiciary. Clarified the use of some of the money collected.</p> <p>1997—Requested by Committee on Finance on behalf of the AOC. Increased assessment to a range of \$15 to \$105 and increased the distribution of funds to juvenile courts and to municipal/justice courts.</p> <p>2001—Requested by Assembly Judiciary on behalf of the Department of Administration, Budget Division. Added Advisory Council for Prosecuting Attorneys to distribution list from State General Fund.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(Continued on next page)</i></p>

NRS and Assessment	Distribution of Assessment	Date Adopted and By Whom (if known)	Substantive Changes Since Adoption
<p><i>(Continued from previous page)</i></p> <p>176.059</p> <p>\$30 to \$120 (depending on fine)</p>			<p>2001—Requested by Senate Finance on behalf of the AOC. Changed manner in which assessments are distributed and reduced appropriation to the Supreme Court.</p> <p>2003—Requested by Assembly Judiciary on behalf of Washoe County Drug Court; Supreme Court testified in favor. Increased assessment to range of \$25 to \$115.</p> <p>2007—Ways and Means. Changed distribution of Supreme Court and added specialty courts. Changed 60 percent to 48 percent for the Supreme Court and added 12 percent for specialty courts.</p> <p>2010—Amended by AB 6 during the 2010 Special Session. Increased administrative assessment fees for misdemeanors.</p> <p>2019—AB 540 revises the distribution of proceeds until June 30, 2021.</p>
<p>176.0611</p> <p>\$10</p>	<p>Provision of court facilities</p> <p><i>Charged in a jurisdiction where this assessment has been authorized (in misdemeanor cases)</i></p>	<p>1995—Requested by Committee on Judiciary; Las Vegas Municipal Court and Clark County District Court testified in favor. Bill originally applied only to Clark County.</p>	<p>1997—Requested by Assembly Judiciary on behalf of Nevada League of Cities. Removed 400,000 population requirement.</p> <p>2019—AB 110 removed the 50-year limitation on the imposition of such an administrative assessment.</p>
<p>176.0613</p> <p>\$7</p>	<p>Specialty court programs</p> <p><i>Charged in misdemeanor cases</i></p>	<p>2003—Requested by Assembly Judiciary; Washoe County Drug Court and Supreme Court testified in favor.</p>	<p>2019—AB 236 revised definition of <i>specialty court program</i>.</p>
<p>176.062</p> <p>\$25</p>	<p>\$5 to district court; Remainder to State General Fund for assistance of criminal justice by the Attorney General (AG) as authorized by the Legislature</p> <p><i>Charged in gross misdemeanor cases</i></p>	<p>1987—Requested by Senate Finance for the AG. Originally \$20 to State General Fund for assistance of criminal justice by the AG as authorized by the Legislature.</p>	<p>1991—Requested by Assembly Ways and Means on behalf of the AG. Increased assessment to \$25 with \$5 to district court.</p>
<p>176.0623</p>	<p>\$3 to clerk of the court Credit to the fund for genetic marker analysis</p>	<p>2013—Requested by Senator Smith. Established the State DNA Database.</p>	<p>None</p>

NRS and Assessment	Distribution of Assessment	Date Adopted and By Whom (if known)	Substantive Changes Since Adoption
176.065 176.075	Authorizes the court to order a person to be confined for an additional period of 1 day for each \$150 of the amount until the administrative assessment and the fine or forfeiture are satisfied or the maximum term of imprisonment prescribed by law for the offense committed has elapsed, whichever is earlier. The provisions of this section do not apply to indigent persons.	1967 —Requested by Assembly Judiciary. Established the Nevada criminal procedure Law. Originally 1 day for each \$4 of the amount owed.	1983 —Increased to \$25 per day 1997 —Increased to \$75 per day 2019 — AB 434 increased the amount to \$150 per day
200.485 \$35	Account for Programs Related to Domestic Violence <i>Charged in battery convictions</i>	1997 —Requested by Assemblywoman Ohrenschaal and all Assembly members; AG’s Office, Nevada Network Against Domestic Violence, family court judges, and Nevada National Association of Social Workers testified in favor. 2019 — AB 60 sponsored by the AG’s Office	2019 — AB 60 requires the court to order a \$35 fee to be paid and deposited into the Account for Programs Related to Domestic Violence if a person is convicted of certain unlawful acts which constitute domestic violence
206.340 \$250	Graffiti Reward Account <i>Charged in cases of damage to specific property and graffiti on public or private property</i>	1995 —Requested by Assemblywoman Steel and all Assembly members	2010 —Revised the distribution of funds

W193761-1